UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,492	10/15/2004	Matthias Muth	DE02 0097 US	4584
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590 05/21/200	10/15/2004 Matthias Muth  05/21/2008  UAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT  VE	EXAMINER	
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT M/S41-SJ			AMRANY, ADI	
	1109 MCKAY DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA 95131			2836	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/511,492	MUTH, MATTHIAS		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ADI AMRANY	2836		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration. or election requirement.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	oate		

Application/Control Number: 10/511,492 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's statement certifying that the International Application DE 10217235.8 is an English language translation is accepted as perfecting the claim of priority. The finality of the previous rejection has been withdrawn. However, a new rejection of the pending claims follows.

## **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to because the figures contain empty boxes. Applicant is requested to insert labels ("DC/DC", "regulator", etc.) in the figures so that future readers can understand the figures without having to consult the specification. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the

Application/Control Number: 10/511,492 Page 3

Art Unit: 2836

applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art ("APA", specification, page 1) in view of Tamai (US 6,580,180).

With respect to claim 1, APA discloses a circuit arrangement for a vehicle for generating at least two DC output voltages from at least one DC input voltage, wherein the DC output voltages are smaller than the DC input voltage, the circuit arrangement comprising: a voltage regulator and a DC/DC converter, wherein the DC input voltage is applied to a DC/DC converter, and the converter supplies a lower voltage than the DC input voltage to the voltage regulator (page 1, lines 7-19). APA states that it is known from the state of the art to arrange a DC/DC converter preceding "such circuit arrangements." The circuit arrangements refers to page 1, lines 3-4, where APA states that voltage regulators are provided to generate Dc output voltages (plural).

APA does not expressly disclose the DC/DC converter can be switched on and off. Tamai discloses a circuit arrangement for a vehicle (fig 1; col. 4-5) for generating at least two DC output voltages (14n), wherein the DC input voltage (outputs of 10, 12) is

applied to a DC/DC converter (120; col. 5, lines 37-46) which can be switched on or off by a logic circuit (110; col. 5, lines 19-22) and supplies a lower voltage than the DC input voltage (12v from 42v), wherein the logic circuit switches the DC/DC converter off in response to an idle state of the vehicle in which circuit elements are switched off (col. 6, lines 21-25), the circuit elements (14n) being supplied by the DC output voltages.

Tamai discloses that the logic circuit is activated by turning on ignition.

Therefore, one skilled in the art would recognize that the logic circuit is deactivated by turning off the ignition (idle state of the vehicle). Further, the phrase, "in which circuit elements are switched off" is interpreted as describing a possible configuration (loads are off) that exists while the vehicle is in an idle state. Tamai discloses the converter is deactivated in response to entering the idle state (ignition off), regardless of the status of the loads (one skilled in the art would recognize that some loads may be off).

APA and Tamai are analogous because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely vehicle power distribution systems. At the time of the invention by applicant, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the step-down converter arrangement disclosed in APA with the on/off logic and DC/DC converter disclosed in Tamai in order to reduce power consumption by turning off the converter when it is not needed (Tamai, col. 2, namely lines 56-63).

With respect to claim 2, Tamai discloses that the DC input voltage (outputs of 10, 12) is used for energy supply of the arrangement (11; col. 4, lines 48-53).

With respect to claims 3-4, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to arrange any of the APA or Tamai components on an integrated circuit, since it has been

held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works*, 150 U.S. 164 (1893). Placing the APA and Tamai components on an integrated circuit, as opposed to separate circuit boards, does not appear to affect their overall performance. Placing the components in one location is an aesthetic design choice in order to minimize space and clutter.

With respect to claim 5, APA and Tamai disclose the recited integrated circuit, as discussed above in the rejections of claims 1 and 3.

With respect to claim 6, Tamai disclose the DC input voltage has a value of approximately 42 volts (col. 4, lines 48-53) and the voltage supplied by the DC/DC converter has a value of approximately 12 volts (col. 4, lines 54-59). Further, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to select any suitable input/output voltages for the DC/DC converter based on the end use of the device, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ADI AMRANY whose telephone number is (571)272-0415. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, from 10am-5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,492 Page 6

Art Unit: 2836

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on (571) 272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael J Sherry/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2836

AA